

PeerPaL™

Campus Violence Prevention System



A track-to-closure model supporting
Incident Management Plans (IMPs).

The Art of Prevention Corporation™ (APC)

www.TheArtofPrevention.org

(813) 541-6102

PeerPaL™ Campus Violence Prevention System

Risk mitigation 'techniques and technologies' address *problems*:

- (1) Widespread under-reporting and delays in reporting warning signs of bullying, violence and vandalism;
- (2) Gaps in preventative training and response efficiency;
- (3) Deficiencies in facility security measures;
- (4) No preset timeframe in which to resolve cases.



STOP Campus Harassment & Violence:

- ✓ Expedite and provide safer evacuations, relocation, reunification and lock downs. Keep track of special needs individuals.
- ✓ Train, connect and mobilize *all* campus personnel and community responders using 'on-person' communication systems.
- ✓ Manage and deploy all available equipment and resources.
- ✓ Minimize suffering, mitigate legal and financial exposure.
- ✓ Provide risk assessments analytics.
- ✓ Construct incident site mapping. Document and track incidents for timely closure, to provide evidence, and to comply with district standards.

Move from crisis prone to crisis prepared...

PeerPaL™ 6-STEP CAMPUS VIOLENCE PREVENTION SYSTEM

The system examines internal and external threats facing schools and includes both short and long term prevention goals to attain status as a **Violence Free Zone (VFZ)**.

- Step 1: Program Readiness: Prepare to Become a Violence Free Zone (VFZ)
- Step 2: Baseline Benchmark Snapshot
- Step 3: Personnel Pledges & Community Agreements
- Step 4: Integrate PeerPaL™ Techniques and Technology: training, communication systems and community toolkit
- Step 5: Integrate site specific solutions
- Step 6: Snapshot Update: evaluations & recommendations, VFZ Summary

STEP 1 District, campus and The Art of Prevention (APC) team establish a unified **Incident Management Plan (IMP)**, including a program funding strategy.

STEP 2 Strengths and weaknesses are analyzed; **Benchmark Snapshot** is taken to evaluate the facility (risk assessment), the target population (climate survey) and the financial costs/losses (monetary value of preventable incidents). A track-to-closure model helps us determine efficiency when it comes to achieving solid, timely resolutions.

STEP 3 **Personnel Pledges & Community Agreements** are produced - faculty, staff and students write and publish commitments to prevent violence on and off campus.

STEP 4 **PeerPaL™ Training & Technical Solutions Core** is implemented. Administrators, faculty, staff, students and community stakeholders are briefed in the prevention of incidents and how to use the system's technological solutions.

PeerPaL™ Violence Prevention Tool (VPT) and **PeerPaL™ Violence Prevention Mailbox (VPM)** interoperable communication systems advance safety and security using an 'all hands on deck' approach. On person, seamless transferring of emergency alerts during moments of crises and an increased number of reports in general, give muscle to prevention opportunities. Climate is routinely monitored; no more waiting for incidents to escalate. Indoor and outdoor mapping, centralized command and situational awareness features leverage responsiveness. Case documentation provides evidence, track-to-closure detail, cross-searchable archives to achieve 24/7 oasis of security. The school also receives access to **ReliefQuesT™**, a community toolkit of social service referrals to build resiliency.

STEP 5 The system provides customization through **SecurityLofT™**, a resource suite of products, materials and programs used to orchestrate site specific solutions.

STEP 6 changes due to having implemented the PeerPaL™ system are measured against the baseline benchmark snapshot created in **STEP 2**. The campus earns **Violence Free Zone (VFZ)** status and works toward maintenance, or system modification process begins. **Outcomes →**

How prepared do we need to be?	Is there a unified planning process?
How prepared are we?	Is there a single command post?
How do we prioritize efforts to close the gap?	Have we mobilized <i>all</i> human assets and coordinated resource management?

PeerPaL™ Potential Outcomes (Results May Vary)

- *All* human assets, equipment and resources are mobilized to decrease security breaches. Strategy in place to reduce active shooter access to victims. Less need to be near a panic button or intercom connected to a busy front office, rely on camera capture, waste time fiddling with locks, phones or heavy radios which may be compromised during violent attack.
- Bullying, sexualized bullying, cyberbullying and gang-related activity may be prevented or resolved more expeditiously with the track-to-closure model, thereby reducing severity and frequency of incidents.
- **PeerPaL™ VPT** may provide a visual deterrent to violence & harassment without the installation of prison-like controls.
- **PeerPaL™ VPM** easy access, confidential notification features may minimize barriers and stigmas that interfere with receiving timely incident reports. As a result of proactive climate monitoring, traumatized individuals may be less likely to take matters into their own hands. Administrators have more data for risk mitigation purposes.
- Drivers and students may feel more protected on school buses.
- Employee turnover, student absenteeism and low productivity due to harassment & violence may improve.
- Certified **PeerPaL™ Violence Free Zones (VFZs)** may have stronger balance sheets and interpersonal relations, while safety seal develops school and community pride.